

KEYNESIAN ECONOMICS IN TIMES OF CRISIS: LESSONS FOR TODAY'S POLICY MAKERS

Basiru Ahmad

Department of Political Science, Federal University Birnin Kebbi
Rfbahmad@gmail.com
08144711253

Suleiman Muhammad

Department of Political Science, Federal University Birnin Kebbi
sulenairazkk@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.57233/zjpd.v6i2.04>

Abstract

This paper explores the significant contributions of John Maynard Keynes to political economic thought, particularly his role in shaping Keynesian economics as a response to classical economic theories that advocated for minimal government intervention. It highlights Keynes's emphasis on active government involvement in promoting full employment, social welfare, and economic stability, particularly during periods of recession. By introducing concepts such as deficit spending and the importance of aggregate demand, Keynes challenged the prevailing notions of self-regulating markets. The analysis also critically examines the limitations of Keynesian doctrines, including concerns about inflation, public debt, and the short-term focus of his policies. In response, the research offers recommendations to enhance the applicability of Keynesian principles in contemporary society. These recommendations include integrating supply-side perspectives, adopting sustainable fiscal policies, addressing income inequality, and fostering public-private partnerships to stimulate innovation and economic growth. Through this exploration, the study argues for a balanced approach that combines Keynesian insights with modern economic realities, ensuring that Keynesian economics remains a relevant and effective framework for addressing today's complex economic challenges.

Keywords: Keynesian Economics, Government Intervention, Economic Stability

1.1 Introduction

The evolution of economic thought has been shaped by a myriad of intellectual currents, each responding to the challenges of its time. Among the most significant shifts occurred with the emergence of Keynesian economics, which arose as a critical response to the prevailing classical bourgeois political economy that emphasized minimal government intervention and the self-regulating nature of markets. Classical economists argued

that a free market system, unencumbered by state interference, would naturally lead to optimal resource allocation and full employment. However, this perspective faced significant scrutiny during the economic upheavals of the early 20th century, particularly the Great Depression, which exposed the limitations of laissez-faire policies (Smithin J. 2003).

J.M. Keynes emerged as a pivotal figure during this transformative period. His groundbreaking work, particularly in *The*

General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money (1936), fundamentally challenged classical economic doctrines. Keynes argued that insufficient aggregate demand could lead to prolonged unemployment and economic stagnation, necessitating active government intervention to stimulate economic activity. This marked a departure from the notion that markets would self-correct, underscoring the importance of fiscal and monetary policies in achieving economic stability (Zezza, G. 2004).

Keynesian economics advocates for a robust public sector role in the economy, emphasizing full employment, public expenditure, and the provision of social welfare. It seeks to address the inherent distortions within capitalist arrangements that can lead to inequality and social unrest. This study will critically examine Keynes's contributions to the literature of political economic thought, focusing on his advocacy for increased public sector participation in the economy, particularly in the realms of welfare provision, infrastructure development, and essential social services.

To structure this discussion, the paper will be organized into three main segments. The first segment will provide a comprehensive background on J.M. Keynes, exploring his life, influences, and the historical context of his ideas. The second segment will delve into his economic doctrines, contrasting the neoclassical principles with Keynesian thought, highlighting key concepts such as full employment and the role of government in managing the economy. Finally, the third segment will offer recommendations aimed at addressing the shortcomings of Keynesian economics, suggesting ways to enhance its

applicability in contemporary economic contexts.

Through this analysis, the study demonstrate how Keynesian economics not only reshaped the understanding of economic dynamics but also laid the groundwork for policies aimed at fostering a more equitable and stable society, challenging the notion of a purely deregulated economy.

1.2 Background of J.M. Keynes

John Maynard Keynes, a towering figure in the field of economics, significantly transformed economic thought in the 20th century. His seminal work, *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money* (1936), is often regarded as one of the most influential social science texts, fundamentally changing how societies understand the economy and the role of government. This book not only challenged existing classical economic theories but also introduced ideas that reshaped public policy and economic practices, emphasizing the necessity of government intervention in times of economic distress (Gonçalo, 2023).

Keynes was born in June 1883 in Cambridge, England, into a well-to-do upper-middle-class family that valued education and intellectual engagement. His father, John Neville Keynes, was a noted economist and a lecturer in moral sciences at the University of Cambridge. His mother, Florence Ada Keynes, was a local social reformer actively involved in community issues. Growing up in such an intellectually stimulating environment provided Keynes with a rich foundation for his future pursuits. He had two siblings: Margaret, who was born in 1885, and Geoffrey, born in 1887, the latter becoming a surgeon and the former

marrying Nobel Prize-winning physiologist Archibald Hill.

Keynes's upbringing was characterized by a loving and supportive family atmosphere. According to economist and biographer Robert Skidelsky, his parents instilled in him a sense of belonging and intellectual curiosity. They were active members of a Congregational Church, providing a stable home life where the children were encouraged to explore their interests. Keynes received considerable support from his father, who played a crucial role in his academic success, assisting him in preparing for scholarship exams and providing financial backing during challenging times, particularly when Keynes faced financial difficulties in the wake of the Great Depression.

From an early age, Keynes demonstrated exceptional aptitude, especially in mathematics. He attended Eton College, where he excelled academically, winning numerous prizes in both mathematics and classics. His ability to balance rigorous studies with vibrant participation in extracurricular activities—such as clubs and committees—reflects a characteristic that would define his later life: a seamless integration of diverse interests and a capacity for leadership.

Keynes's early exposure to prominent intellectuals, including W.E. Johnson, Henry Sidgwick, and Alfred Marshall, who frequented his family home, further enriched his educational experience. These interactions provided him with insights that would later inform his economic theories and policy recommendations.

1.3 Linkage between Background and Economic Thought

The nurturing environment in which Keynes was raised played a crucial role in shaping his economic thought. Growing up

in a family that valued education and intellectual discourse, he developed a critical perspective on economic issues from an early age. His father's influence as an economist provided him with foundational knowledge, while his mother's engagement in social reform fostered a sense of social responsibility and awareness of the community's needs. This dual emphasis on intellectual rigor and social consciousness would later manifest in his advocacy for government intervention and public welfare (Allien et al, 2013).

Keynes's exposure to leading thinkers of his time further enriched his understanding of economics, allowing him to critically assess and eventually challenge classical economic doctrines. His education at Eton and later at Cambridge not only honed his analytical skills but also instilled in him a belief in the importance of practical solutions to real-world problems. This belief became central to his economic philosophy, particularly his view that markets do not always self-correct and that government action is necessary to address economic failures.

Moreover, Keynes's experiences during the economic turmoil of the early 20th century, including the aftermath of World War I and the onset of the Great Depression, reinforced his conviction that the state must play an active role in stabilizing the economy. His family's financial struggles during the Great Depression highlighted the fragility of individual economic security, prompting Keynes to advocate for policies aimed at reducing unemployment and ensuring social welfare. (Ambrosi, 2011)

In summary, J.M. Keynes's background rooted in a supportive family, an intellectually stimulating environment, and early academic success was instrumental

in shaping his economic thought. His formative experiences cultivated a blend of analytical rigor and social responsibility, leading him to champion the importance of government intervention in the economy and laying the groundwork for his revolutionary contributions to modern economic theory

1.4 Economic Doctrine of J.M Keynes

The group of economist collectively called classical economist developed a policy prescription about economy the core of which was the limited role it would assign to the government and a belief in the self-equilibrating capability of market termed by Smith 'invisible hand'. As government was not assigned the role in the economy beyond providing some basic services (public goods) and to create apparatus whereby market functions efficiently there was hardly any role for the fiscal policy to regulate things in the economy (Fontana, G. 2009). Smith, hailed as father of 'classical political economy' (the name by which economics was then known), maintained public budget to follow the prudence of a family budget where expenditures are advised to be limited to the income and the borrowing only in the emergencies. The classical economists whose ideas and beliefs are the bases for the neo classical approach to public policy had the unwavering faith in the capability of market to produce efficient outcome as far as the utilization and allocation of productive resources are concerned. While fluctuations in the economic activity were not ruled out but they would be addressed by the forces of self-correction activated by the economy itself. Thus the government's attempt to intervene would amount to injecting the sources of instability. Fiscal profligacy was considered to be one such source of instability (Mohd and ghazala, 2011). This

approach, enunciated by Smith – Ricardo – Say, rules out the possibility of glut and unemployment as it assumes – rather unrealistically – the wage flexibility. The model produces 'zero GNP gap' and hence no macroeconomic instability. If economy ever experiences the unemployment or glut it would be a short term phenomena as the self-correcting mechanism would become activated (Malthus, amongst the classical economist, though was in disagreement with this proposition about the impossibility of glut). Balanced public budget was recommended because whatever ways such deficits would be financed would produce distortions in the economy. All the competitive allocations are supposed to be efficient in terms of Pareto optimality where no one, through reallocation, can be made better off without making someone worse-off. At least twice in the history of economic doctrine the classical theory faced serious challenges. Each time it bounced back and those who helped its resurrection came to be called 'neoclassical economists' and the policy prescription 'the neoclassical approach'. First time when 'marginal revolution' in the latter part of 19th Century had relegated it to irrelevance especially with respect to the question of value (however its relevance for public policy remained undiluted) it was Marshall who resurrected it through his 'great synthesis' and restored its relevance. Next serious challenge came in the form of great depression of 1930s. The self-correcting capability of market was put to severe test by the crises. The problem emerged was not that market cannot function but the time lag involved in the occurrence of imbalance and its correction by the market. It was particularly significant as the people were made to suffer longer than their tolerance level by the economic crises resulting in doctrine of market economy

becoming discredited (Mohd and ghazala, 2011).

1.5 Keynesian Political Economy

The ideological predilection of the classical bourgeois political economists which stressed that non-intervention of the state in economic matters and a free hand in market system and operation would lead to high resource allocation was vehemently debunked by the neoclassical political economists. J.M Keynes was the foremost progenitor of the neoclassical economic thought that came popularly referred to as Keynesian economics. The Keynesian school of thought emphasized on full employment, public sector expenditure, welfare provision, social democracy and worker's rights and mutation of fiscal and monetary policies that would correct inherent distortion within the capitalists arrangements (Kura and Ishaq, 2013)

Not only that the kind of full employment as envisioned by classical economists could never be attained by any country (at least it is not documented) there occurred a severe depression in West Europe, UK in particular, and USA during 1873 - 1897. But still the efficacy of classical theory in guiding public policy could not be successfully challenged. It was during 1920s that Keynes offered different paradigm to public policy which was diametrically opposite to that of classical vision of balanced public budget. The argument that Keynes advanced was the deficit spending by the government would raise aggregate demand and consequently the employment level in the economy. Private investment out of the current saving was not the effective means for the reason that it would slowdown the multiplier effect of investment as the determinant of multiplier is 'marginal propensity to consume. Government

spending through created money would keep the economy growing in accordance with the multiplier value. Therefore Keynes was the first one to provide theoretical legitimacy to deficit budget and public debt. Even before the onset of depression Keynes was arguing in favour of deficit-financed public works to raise employment. "There is no reason why we should not feel ourselves free to be bold, to be open, to experiment, to take action, to try the possibilities of things (Allain, O., Harwing, J. and Hayes, M. 2013).

1.6 Major Assumptions of Keynesian Political Economy

Kura and Ishaq (2013) identified the following as the basic assumptions of Keynesians political economy:

That government should actively participate in the economic matters. It should provide full employment to the citizens and enhance public welfare. Keynes believed that active government intervention is necessary to manage economic cycles, especially during recessions, to stimulate demand and restore full employment. For him, the process of buying and selling is a means of life, and I think it can be controlled by public authority

Government should fostered cooperate social responsibility which would lead poverty alleviation, reduce inequalities and balance income gap. Keynes's views imply that businesses should operate in a way that considers the broader impact on society. He suggested that the private sector has a role in contributing to economic stability and social welfare. He therefore, posited that public works programs as a means to create jobs and stimulate demand. Such initiatives can alleviate poverty and reduce income inequality by providing employment

opportunities for disadvantaged groups (Smithin, J. 2013).

That provision of infrastructural facilities, basic social services such as provisions of roads, hospitals, and schools were sole responsibility of the state and state. Keynes argued that unemployment could persist even in a healthy economy due to insufficient demand, rejecting the classical view that markets are always clear. According to him “the socialization of investment will require a great deal of planning, and an active role for the State in ensuring that everyone has a fair share of the benefits

Liquidity Preference: He introduced the concept of liquidity preference, suggesting that people prefer to hold cash (liquid assets) over illiquid investments when they are uncertain about the future (Smithin, 2013).

Role of Expectations: Keynes emphasized the importance of expectations in economic decision-making, noting that uncertainty about the future can lead to fluctuations in investment and consumption

Keynes advocated for counter-cyclical policies, meaning that during economic downturns, the government should increase spending and possibly reduce taxes to stimulate demand. Conversely, during economic booms, it should reduce spending or increase taxes to cool down the economy and prevent inflation (Smithin, 2013).

Keynes strongly supported public works programs as a way to provide immediate employment and stimulate the economy. This was especially pertinent during the Great Depression, where he saw government investment as a crucial tool for recovery. He argued that the government should be willing to run

deficits in times of economic hardship to fund these initiatives, as the long-term benefits of reducing unemployment and increasing productivity outweigh the short-term costs (Smithin, 2013).

1.7 Criticisms of Keynesian Economic Doctrines

Keynesian economics has provided valuable insights into the role of government in managing economic cycles, and his thought continued to have profound and lasting impact, his doctrines have also faced various criticisms. Here are some key critiques:

Inadequate Long-Term Focus: Critics argue that Keynesian economics tends to focus excessively on short-term solutions to economic problems, such as unemployment and recession, while neglecting long-term structural issues. This emphasis on immediate demand stimulation can lead to a lack of attention to productivity improvements and supply-side factors necessary for sustainable economic growth.

Inflation Concerns: Keynes's advocacy for increased government spending, especially during economic downturns, raises concerns about inflation. Critics argue that persistent deficit spending can lead to an overheated economy, causing inflationary pressures that undermine the purchasing power of consumers and destabilize the economy. This was notably observed in the 1970s during the phenomenon of stagflation, where high inflation and unemployment coexisted.

Public Debt Issues: While Keynes legitimized deficit spending, critics caution that excessive reliance on public debt can lead to unsustainable fiscal practices. Over time, accumulating debt can constrain future government spending and create long-term economic instability. Critics

argue that this dependence on debt financing undermines fiscal responsibility and can hinder future economic growth.

Assumptions of Consumer Behavior: Keynes's concepts, such as liquidity preference and the marginal propensity to consume, are based on certain assumptions about consumer behavior that may not hold true across different contexts. Critics argue that individuals may not always prioritize liquidity in the same way Keynes suggested, particularly in different economic environments or cultural contexts.

Role of Expectations: Although Keynes emphasized the importance of expectations in economic decision-making, critics contend that he did not fully account for how these expectations could lead to irrational behavior. Behavioral economists argue that people's decisions are often influenced by cognitive biases and social factors, which may not align with Keynes's theories.

Neglect of Supply-Side Economics: Keynesian economics is often criticized for its neglect of supply-side factors in economic growth. Critics argue that focusing solely on demand can lead to a lack of investment in productivity-enhancing measures, such as technological innovation and workforce development, which are essential for long-term economic health.

Market Signals and Resource Allocation: Critics from the classical and neoclassical schools argue that government intervention can distort market signals, leading to inefficient resource allocation. They contend that markets are generally more effective at adjusting to changes in supply and demand without government interference, and that Keynesian policies

may create unintended consequences that disrupt market dynamics.

Dependency on Government Programs: Some critics argue that Keynesian policies, particularly those involving public works programs and welfare provisions, can create a dependency on government assistance. This dependency may discourage individual initiative and self-sufficiency, potentially leading to long-term economic challenges.

1.8 Conclusion and Recommendations

J.M. Keynes's contributions to economic thought represent a pivotal shift in how we understand the role of government in the economy, particularly in times of crisis. His advocacy for active government intervention, deficit spending, and public welfare initiatives laid the groundwork for modern macroeconomic policy and reshaped public discourse on economic management. By challenging classical economic theories that prioritized self-regulating markets, Keynes introduced concepts that emphasized the importance of aggregate demand, liquidity preference, and the psychological factors influencing economic behavior.

Despite the profound impact of Keynesian economics, his doctrines have faced significant criticisms. Detractors highlight concerns regarding the short-term focus of Keynes's policies, potential inflationary effects of sustained government spending, and the risks associated with accumulating public debt. Furthermore, critiques of his assumptions about consumer behavior and market efficiency underscore the complexities of real-world economic dynamics.

In light of these criticisms, it is essential to adopt a more nuanced perspective that integrates both Keynesian insights and supply-side considerations. A balanced

approach that recognizes the importance of stimulating demand while also fostering long-term productivity and innovation may offer a more comprehensive framework for addressing contemporary economic challenges. As we navigate the complexities of modern economies, the legacy of Keynes serves as both a foundation and a point of departure for ongoing debates about the role of government, the nature of economic cycles, and the pursuit of social welfare. Ultimately, the evolution of Keynesian thought will continue to shape economic policy and theory as societies strive for sustainable growth and equitable prosperity.

To maximize the relevance and effectiveness of Keynesian economic doctrines in today's complex economic landscape, several recommendations can be made:

Incorporate Supply-Side Perspectives: While Keynesian economics emphasizes demand stimulation, integrating supply-side strategies is crucial. Policymakers should focus on enhancing productivity through investment in education, technology, and infrastructure, ensuring that economic growth is sustainable and balanced.

Emphasize Sustainable Fiscal Policies: To address concerns about public debt, governments should adopt sustainable fiscal practices. This involves implementing clear frameworks for deficit spending that prioritize essential investments, while also committing to long-term debt reduction strategies when economic conditions permit.

Adapt to Globalization: In an increasingly interconnected world, Keynesian policies should consider the implications of globalization. This includes developing

strategies that address income inequality and job displacement caused by international trade, ensuring that the benefits of globalization are broadly shared.

Focus on Job Creation and Workforce Development: Public works programs should not only aim to create immediate employment but also focus on developing skills that align with the evolving job market. Investment in training and education can help workers transition to new industries, enhancing overall economic resilience.

Enhance Monetary Policy Coordination: Greater coordination between fiscal and monetary policies can optimize economic outcomes. Central banks should align their strategies with government spending initiatives to ensure a cohesive approach to stimulating demand and managing inflation.

Address Inequality and Social Welfare: Keynesian economics should incorporate robust measures to tackle income inequality and enhance social welfare. This can involve progressive taxation, expanded access to healthcare and education, and targeted support for vulnerable populations, fostering a more equitable economic environment.

Utilize Behavioral Economics Insights: Integrating insights from behavioral economics can improve the understanding of consumer behavior and expectations. Policymakers can design interventions that account for cognitive biases and promote positive economic behaviors, such as saving and investment.

References

- Allain, O., Hartwig, J., & Hayes, M. G. (2013). Effective demand: Securing the foundations—A symposium. Post Keynesian Economics Study Group Working Paper No. 1302.
- Ambrosi, G. M. (2011). Keynes' abominable Z-footnote. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 35(3), 619–633.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/beq027>
- Arena, R., & Salvadori, N. (Eds.). (2004). Money, credit and the role of the state: Essays in honour of Augusto Graziani. Ashgate.
- Bellofiore, R. (2005). The monetary aspects of the capitalist process in the Marxian system: An investigation from the point of view of the theory of the monetary circuit. In F. Moseley (Ed.), *Marx's theory of money: Modern appraisals* (pp. 124–139). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Blaug, M. (1964). Economic theory in retrospect. Richard D. Irwin.
- Fontana, G. (2000). Post Keynesian and circuitists on money and uncertainty: An attempt at generality. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 23(1), 27–48.
- Fontana, G. (2009). Money, uncertainty and time. Routledge.
- Fontana, G., & Realfonzo, R. (Eds.). (2005). The monetary theory of production: Tradition and perspectives. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Forges Davanzati, G., & Realfonzo, R. (2008). Aggregate demand, social conflict and unemployment: Towards a continuist interpretation of Keynes. [Publication details incomplete in the supplied paper; verify before submission].
- Keynes, J. M. (1940). How to pay for the war: A radical plan for the Chancellor of the Exchequer. Macmillan.
- Kura, S. Y. B., & Ishaq, R. (2013). Political economy: Fundamentals and methods: Basics of social science. Malthouse Press.
- Seccareccia, M. (2004). Aspects of a new conceptual integration of Keynes's Treatise on money and the General theory: Logical time units and macroeconomic price formation. In R. Arena & N. Salvadori (Eds.), *Money, credit and the role of the state: Essays in honour of Augusto Graziani* (Chap. 17). Ashgate.
- Smithin, J. (2013). Keynes's theories on money and banking in the Treatise and The General Theory. *Review of Keynesian Economics*, 1(2), 242–256.
- Zeza, G. (2004). Some simple, consistent models of the monetary circuit (Working Paper No.